

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/068,626	02/05/2002	Eric V. Wade	19932/3	8230	
7590 06/07/2004			EXAMINER		
John S. Beulick			LEWIS, RALPH A		
Armstrong Teasdale LLP One Metropolitan Sq., Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
St. Louis, MO		3732			

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/068,626		WADE, ERIC V.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ralph A. Lev	<i>i</i> is	3732				
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE MAILING  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON*  - If the period for report of the period of	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT may be available under the provisions of 37 THS from the mailing date of this communicatly specified above is less than thirty (30) day oly is specified above, the maximum statutory hin the set or extended period for reply will, be by the Office later than three months after the adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, tion.  is, a reply within the statutor period will apply and will en statute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be time y minimum of thirty (30) days cpire SIX (6) MONTHS from t lion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>08 March 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action	on is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is non	-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Cla	nims							
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)								
Application Paper	<b>'S</b>							
9) The spec	fication is objected to by the Ex	caminer.						
10)☐ The draw	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
• •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)			_					
1) Notice of Referen		4	Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Date	/SB/08) 5	Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)			

Art Unit: 3732

## Rejections based on 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 2

Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 32, it is unclear how the "dental bur" relates to the claimed structure of splash guard – (i.e. the "inner aperture" and "plurality of fins"). The claimed elements of a device must be reasonably related toward one another so as to present a device capable of performing the intended function (i.e. splash guard).

## Rejections based on Obvious-type Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/068,626 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 1, 4-11 and 13-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,350,124. The patented claims of 6,350,124 include all the limitations of the present claims, the slight variations in the manner in which the present pending claims differ from the patented claims of 6,350,124 would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan.

## **Action Made Final**

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/068,626 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

**Allowable Subject Matter** 

Claims 1, 4-11, 13-33 and 35 would be allowable upon the filing of a terminal

disclaimer to overcome the obvious-type double patenting rejection above and in the

case of claims 32 and 33 if amended to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ralph Lewis at

telephone number (703) 308-0770. Fax (703) 872-9302. The examiner works a

compressed work schedule and is unavailable every other Friday. The examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Shaver, can be reached at (703) 308-2582.

R.Lewis June 1, 2004

Ralph A. Lewis
Primary Examiner

Au3737